

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,326 01/18/2002		01/18/2002	Nis-Georg Nissen	20740-243534	7952	
25764	7590	01/27/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
FAEGRE	& BENS	ON LLP	BOEHLER, AN	BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M		
2200 WELL 90 SOUTH		O CENTER EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAP			3611	3611		
				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applio	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
<i>→</i>			1,326	NISSEN ET AL.	7				
Office Action Summary		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
			Marie M Boehler	3611	<u>.                                    </u>				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 Novembe	<u>r 2003</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,7-9,11-13,16-19,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 4-6,10,14,15,20-22,25 and 26 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
		minor							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachment	i(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) \[ \] \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \	(DTO 440) D					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/051,326

Art Unit: 3611

٠٠٠ ليـ

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 11-13, 16-19, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krenzin in view of Ander (USPN 5,278,761).

Krenzin shows an industrial truck with a driven and steered wheel 22 and two non-driven wheels 26. It also includes a traction control system whereby wheel slip is determined by comparison of a speed calculated for the driving wheel and a traveling speed determined for the industrial truck. The traveling speed is determined from the rotational speed of the non-driven wheels. The truck has an electric motor.

Krezin lacks means for reducing drive torque in response to detected slip.

Ander describes a traction control system including a means for reducing torque to at least one drive wheel in response to determines slip, as is conventional in traction control systems. Slip is determined by comparing the speed of driven and non-driven wheels. It also factors a detected steering angle signal into the slip calculation.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reduce drive torque in response to determined slip, as is old and well known, as is taught by Ander, in order to reduce excessive drive slip. It would also have been obvious to use a three-phase ac motor, for the unspecified electric motor of Krenzin, in order to provide an appropriate drive source.

Art Unit: 3611

3. Claims 4-6, 10, 14, 15, 20-22, 25, and 26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this

Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening

claims.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7-9, 11-13, 16-19, 23 and 24

have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M Boehler whose telephone number is 703-

308-0422. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday,

and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

Anne Marie M Boehler

1/26/04

Page 3

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3611

amb